**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 523 OF 2006**

Smt. Shubhadha Pradeep
Tarlekar & Ors. ..Petitioners

versus

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

Mr. Shivajirao Masal for the Petitioners.

Mr. I. S. Thakur, APP for the Respondents - State.

**CORAM : D. G. DESHPANDE, &**
**V. K. TAHILRAMANI,JJ.**

**DATE : 21ST MARCH, 2006**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard.

2. Rule, returnable forthwith.

3. Counsel for the petitioners state that he has challenged issuance of the process by the Magistrate under Sections 353, 332, 504, 506, 34 etc.

4. In view of the Judgment of this Court

**(Coram: Smt. V. K. Tahilramani, J.) reported in [2005 (3) Mh.L.J. V. K. Jain and others vs. Pratap V. Padode and others,** wherein it is held **"that the power of High Court under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code should not be resorted to if there is specific provision in the Code for the redress of the grievance of the aggrieved party. The applicants have an efficacious remedy of preferring revision in respect of the order of the Magistrate issuing process"**, the petitioners have to go the Sessions Judge in Revision. Therefore, the petitioners to move the Sessions Judge in Revision.

5. Rule discharged accordingly.

(D.G. DESHPANDE, J. )

(V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.)